

PRIMER NOTE

Microsatellite DNA loci from the Diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*)

J. SUSANNE HAUSWALDT*† and TRAVIS C. GLENN*†

*Department of Biological Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA, †Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, P.O. Drawer E, Aiken, SC 29802, USA

Abstract

We describe polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers and conditions to amplify one dinucleotide and five tetranucleotide microsatellite DNA loci isolated from the Diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*). The PCR primers were tested on 21 terrapins from Cape Romain, SC, USA. The microsatellite primers developed yielded a high number of alleles (8–14) and high observed heterozygosities (0.57–1.0).

Keywords: dinucleotide repeats, *Malaclemys terrapin*, microsatellites, primer, SSR, tetranucleotide repeats

Received 3 October 2002; revision received 18 December 2002; accepted 18 December 2002

The Diamondback terrapin is the only strictly estuarine species of turtle in North America and is distributed along the Atlantic and Gulf Coast of the United States. Mark-recapture data have indicated that terrapins have extraordinarily high site fidelity to specific tidal creeks and sections of creeks (Gibbons *et al.* 2001; Tucker *et al.* 2001) or sections of a river (Roosenburg *et al.* 1999), and female terrapins have also been found to return to the same nesting beaches (Roosenburg 1996). We developed microsatellite markers to study multigenerational site fidelity and population genetic structure in Diamondback terrapins from South Carolina.

DNA was extracted from terrapin blood or tail-tip tissue using diatomaceous earth as described by Davis *et al.* (2001), or standard phenol/chloroform extraction (Sambrook *et al.* 1989). Extracted DNA was enriched for (AC)₁₃, (AG)₁₂, (AAAG)₆, (ACAG)₆, (AGAT)₈, (ATCC)₅ and (ACAT)₈ following a protocol modified from Hamilton *et al.* (1999). The detailed protocol is available from TCG (glenn@srel.edu). In brief, the DNA was digested with *RsaI*, ligated to SuperSNX linkers, hybridized to biotinylated microsatellite oligonucleotides, captured on Dynabeads (Dynal Biotech Inc.), unwanted DNA was washed away, captured DNA was recovered by polymerase chain reactions (PCR) with SuperSNX-f (5'-GTTTAAGGCCTAGCTAGCAGAATC-3') and cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning System (2.1) (Invitrogen). White colonies were amplified using M13

forward and reverse primers. PCR products of 500–1000 bp were sequenced using BigDye version 2.0 (Applied Biosystems) chemistry on an ABI 377 sequencer. Sequences from both strands were assembled and edited in Sequencher 4.1.2 (Genecodes) and exported to Ephemis 1.0 (available at http://www.uga.edu/srel/DNA_Lab/programs.htm) to automatically search sequences for microsatellite repeats. PCR primers were developed, and an M13Reverse (5'-GGAAACAGCTATGACCAT-3') or CAG tag (5'-CAGT-CGGGCGTCATCA-3') was added to the 5' end of one of each primer pair (Table 1) using Oligo 6.67 (Molecular Biology Insights) to determine which tag would produce the least secondary structures. Inclusion of the 5'-tag allows use of a third primer in the PCR (M13R or CAG) that is fluorescently labelled for detection on the ABI 377 (cf. Boutin-Ganache *et al.* 2001).

Primers were optimized using eight Diamondback terrapin DNA samples from a South Carolina terrapin population from Cape Romain. PCR amplifications were performed in a 25 µL volume using a GeneAmp PCR System 2700 Thermocycler (Applied Biosystems). PCR final concentrations for optimizing reactions were 10 mM Tris pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 25.0 µg/mL BSA, 0.2 µM unlabelled primer, 0.02 µM tag labelled primer, 0.18 µM universal dye labelled primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.15 mM dNTPs, 0.5 units *Taq* DNA Polymerase and 40 ng DNA template. M13R and CAG universal primers were labelled with a Fam, Hex or Ned fluorescent dye. Primers were tested using touchdown thermal cycling programs (Don *et al.* 1991)

Correspondence: Susanne Hauswaldt. Fax: 803-777-4002; E-mail: sushaus@biol.sc.edu

Table 1 Characterization of six primer pairs that amplify microsatellites from *Malaclemmys terrapin*. Sequences used to introduce sites for universal fluorescent primers are in italics. Bases shared between the terrapin sequence and the universal fluorescent primers are underlined. Touchdown temperature refers to the initial annealing temperature of the touchdown protocol used. N refers to sample size. Size range refers to the observed distribution of alleles at each locus. *Primer TerpSH 3L was designed with a run of three cytosines; however, further investigation of the original clone sequences indicate that there are only two cytosines at this location. This primer works well despite the additional base

Locus	Primer Sequence 5' → 3'	Genbank Accession Number	Touchdown Temperature	Repeat Sequence	N	Number of Alleles	Size Range (bp)	Observed Heterozygosity	Expected Heterozygosity
TerpSH 1U	CCA CTG GGA TCT AAT CAC TT	AY 156709	65	(AGAT) ₁₅	20	12	254–302	0.5714	0.9128
TerpSH 1L	GGAAACAGCTATGACCATTG GG CAA CTT AGC AT								
TerpSH 2U	GGAAACAGCTATGACCATTG GCC AGC AGG AGT AAT G	AY 156710	60	(AGAT) ₁₂	21	12	171–227	1.0000	0.9024
TerpSH 2L	CTA TTA GGG CAG AGA CGA G								
TerpSH 3U	GGAAACAGCTATGACCATTG TCC CCC AAT GCA CAC	AY 156711	65	(CAAA) ₁₄	21	8	283–311	0.8571	0.8025
TerpSH 3L	CTG C*CCA ATC CAT TTA GA								
TerpSH 5U	TTG CTG CTA TAT GCT TAA T	AY156713	60	(CTAT) ₁₂	21	8	157–189	0.9048	0.8246
TerpSH 5L	GGAAACAGCTATGACCATT CCT CCC TGC CTA TTG A								
TerpSH 7U	CAGTCGGCGTCATC CAC ACA CAC TGT ATT TTG ATA	AY 156715	60	(AGAT) ₁₃	18	10	97–137	0.7222	0.8722
TerpSH 7L	CTA TGC CCT TTC TAG TTT G								
TerpSH 8U	GGAAACAGCTATGACCATTG CCA AAT TAA ATA TCT ACC	AY 156716	65	(GA) ₁₉	19	14	193–221	0.8947	0.9132
TerpSH 8L	AGC CTT TCC AGT ATT CAG TA								

encompassing a 10 °C span of annealing temperatures ranging between 65 and 55 °C, 60–50 °C or 55–45 °C. Cycling parameters were: five cycles of 96 °C for 20 s, the highest annealing temperature for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; followed by 21 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, the highest annealing temperature minus 0.5 °C per cycle for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and finally 10 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, annealing at the lowest temperature for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min. PCR products were initially scored for amplification on agarose gels, and successful PCR products subsequently sized on an ABI 377XL sequencer using CXR ladder (Promega). Results were analysed using GENESCAN and GENOTYPER software (Applied Biosystems). Following optimization an additional 13 individuals from Cape Romain were genotyped (Table 1).

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the Diamondback terrapin primer pairs. We calculated observed and expected heterozygosity (H_O and H_E) using GENEPOP (Raymond & Rousset 1995). After Sequential Bonferroni adjustment of α for multiple comparisons, no loci deviated significantly from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium. The high numbers of alleles per locus within this terrapin population indicates the potential usefulness of these primers to characterize population genetic structure of Diamondback terrapins. Because these primers might also be useful for population genetic studies in other turtles, we tested them with DNA from seven other species (Table 2). Many of these primers produce amplification products of the expected size; however, we have not yet tested them for polymorphism.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mandy Schable for help with the microsatellite isolation process. For helping with the terrapin collection we thank Bill Roumillat and the Inshore Fisheries Group (SCDNR Charleston), Michelle Lee and David Owens (Grice Marine Laboratory). The research was conducted under a graduate research fellowship awarded to J.S.H. from the Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. J.S.H. also received a graduate student assistantship from the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory. T.C.G. was supported in part by contract DE-FC09–96SR18546 between the U.S. Department of Energy and the University of Georgia's Savannah River Ecology Laboratory.

References

- Boutin-Ganache I, Raposo M, Raymond M, Deschepper CF (2001) M13-tailed primers improve the readability and usability of microsatellite analyses performed with two different allelizing methods. *Biotechniques*, **31**, 24–28.
- Davis LM, Glenn TC, Elsey RM *et al.* (2001) Genetic structure of six populations of American alligators: A microsatellite analysis. In: *Crocodilian Biology and Evolution* (eds Grigg GC, Seebaker F, Franklin CE), pp. 38–50. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Australia.

Table 2 PCR amplification of the six primer pairs in other turtle species. + Indicates amplification products of the expected size. – Indicates no amplification or multiple amplification products

Species	TerpSH 1	TerpSH 2	TerpSH 3	TerpSH 5	TerpSH 7	TerpSH 8
<i>Chrysemys picta picta</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Clemmys guttata</i>	–	+	+	–	–	–
<i>Terrapene carolina</i>	–	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	+	+	–	–	–	–
<i>Sternotherus odoratus</i>	–	+	+	–	–	–
<i>Caretta caretta</i>	–	+	+	+	–	+
<i>Dermodochelys coriacea</i>	+	+	–	+	–	+

Don RH, Cox PT, Wainwright BJ, Baker K, Mattick JS (1991) 'Touchdown' PCR to circumvent spurious priming during gene amplification. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **19**, 4008.

Gibbons JW, Lovich JE, Tucker AD, FitzSimmons NN, Greene JL (2001) Demographic and ecological factors affecting conservation and management of the diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*). *Chelonian Conservation and Biology*, **4**, 66–74.

Hamilton MB, Pincus EL, Di Fiore A, Flesher RC (1999) Universal linker and ligation procedures for construction of genomic DNA libraries enriched for microsatellites. *Biotechniques*, **27**, 500–507.

Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP Version 1.2.: population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.

Roosenburg WM (1996) Maternal condition and nest site choice: an alternative for the maintenance of environmental sex determination? *American Zoologist*, **36**, 157–168.

Roosenburg WM, Haley KL, McGuire S (1999) Habitat selection and movements of diamondback terrapins, *Malaclemys terrapin*, in a Maryland estuary. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology*, **3**, 425–429.

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Tucker AD, Gibbons JW, Greene JL (2001) Estimates of adult survival and migration for diamondback terrapins: conservation insight from local extirpation within a metapopulation. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **79**, 2199–2209.